

HERBICIDE EVALUATION IN ARKANSAS RICE, 1999

Ron Talbert¹

University Professor

Ford Baldwin²

Extension Weed Scientist

Ken Smith³

Extension Weed Scientist

David Gealy⁴

Plant Physiologist

Lance Schmidt¹

Research Specialist

Eric Scherder¹

Research Specialist

Tomilea Dillon²

Extension Associate

Mike Lovelace¹

Research Specialist

Nathan Buehring¹

Graduate Assistant

Leopoldo Estorninos, Jr.¹

Graduate Assistant

Marilyn McClelland¹

Research Associate

Jeff Branson⁴

Extension Associate

¹*Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences Department, Fayetteville*

²*Cooperative Extension Service, Lonoke*

³*USDA-ARS, Dale Bumpers National Rice Research Center, Stuttgart*

⁴*Southeast Research and Extension Center, Monticello*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the Arkansas Rice Research and Promotion Board for financial support for some of these experiments. The following companies provided financial support and chemicals used in the studies: AgrEvo, BASF, Cedar, Cyanamid, Dow AgroSciences, DuPont, FMC, Helena, Monsanto, Novartis, Rhone-Poulenc, Rice Co., Rohm & Haas, Terra, Valent, and Zeneca.

The assistance of the following individuals is gratefully acknowledged: Howard Black, Biological Technician, Dale Bumpers National Rice Research Center; Mike Dillon, Research Technician, Lonoke; Troy Dillon, Research Technician, Lonoke; Larry Earnest, Superintendent, Southeast Branch Station, Rohwer; Bill Fox, Research Specialist, Rice Research and Extension Center, Stuttgart; John Robinson, Director, Rice Research and Extension Center, Stuttgart; Vaughn Skinner, Farm Manager, Main Experiment Station, Fayetteville; Randy Spurlock, Research Technician, Southeast Branch Station, Rohwer; and Marci Milus and Lynn McCoy, secretarial staff.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS	2
ABBREVIATIONS OF TERMS	2
TABLES	
Table 1. Weed control evaluation in glufosinate (Liberty)-tolerant rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	3
Table 2. Yellow nutsedge control in Liberty-tolerant rice, Lodge Corner, 1999.	8
Table 3. Red rice control with glufosinate (Liberty), Stuttgart, 1999.	12
Table 4. Glufosinate (Liberty) aquatic weed control, Lonoke, 1999.	16
Table 5. Grass control with glufosinate (Liberty), Lonoke, 1999.	18
Table 6. Effect of spray volume on glufosinate (Liberty) efficacy, Stuttgart, 1999.	25
Table 7. Glufosinate (Liberty) flood timing study, Lonoke, 1999.	28
Table 8. Multi-species weed control with imazethapyr in a simulated rice field, Lonoke, 1999.	30
Table 9. Sequential applications in IMI-tolerant rice, Lonoke, 1999.	33
Table 10. Preemergence tank mixes of imazethapyr with quinclorac and clomazone, Lonoke, 1999.	40
Table 11. Imazethapyr (Pursuit) follow-crop study - rice followed by wheat and non-IMI rice, Lonoke, 1998-1999.	45
Table 12. Imazethapyr follow-crop study - rice followed by wheat and non-IMI rice (Year 1), Lonoke, 1999.	51
Table 13. Tank-mix combinations with imazethapyr, Lonoke, 1999.	54
Table 14. Using other IMI herbicides on IMI-rice, Lonoke, 1999.	59
Table 15. Imazethapyr + pendimethalin (Prowl) for weed control in IMI-rice, Lonoke, 1999.	65
Table 16. Imazethapyr flush vs no flush of soil-applied treatments, Lonoke, 1999.	68
Table 17. Grass weed control with sequential pre-flood applications of imazethapyr in IMI-tolerant rice, Lodge Corner, 1999.	71
Table 18. Broadleaf signalgrass control in IMI-tolerant rice, Lonoke, 1999.	81
Table 19. Rice injury and weed control with imazethapyr, Lonoke, 1999.	88

Table 20. Weed control in IMI-rice without flooding, Lonoke, 1999.	91
Table 21. Sequential application of imazethapyr for control of red rice and other common weeds in IMI-rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	95
Table 22. Red rice control in IMI-tolerant rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	100
Table 23. Red rice control in IMI rice, Rohwer, 1999.	105
Table 24. Propanil for postemergence weed control in IMI-tolerant rice, Lonoke, 1999.	108
Table 25. Grass weed control with imazethapyr in IMI-tolerant rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	112
Table 26. Programs for hemp sesbania and northern jointvetch control in IMI-tolerant rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	115
Table 27. Comparison of clomazone and standard programs under non-flushed conditions, Stuttgart, 1999. ..	119
Table 28. Evaluation of clomazone (Command) and imazethapyr (Pursuit) for aquatic control, Stuttgart, 1999.	122
Table 29. Herbicide evaluation of clomazone in herbicide programs in Arkansas rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	124
Table 30. Rice cultivar sensitivity to clomazone (Command 3 ME) at PRE applications, Stuttgart, 1999.	143
Table 31. Levee management with clomazone in a herbicide program in Arkansas rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	148
Table 32. Evaluation of planting date on the phytotoxic effects of clomazone, Stuttgart, 1999.	152
Table 33. Rice cultivar sensitivity to clomazone (Command 3 ME) at PRE applications, Pine Tree, 1999.	155
Table 34. Postemergence herbicides following clomazone (Command), Rohwer, 1999.	160
Table 35. Postemergence programs following clomazone (Command), Rohwer, 1999.	163
Table 36. Broadleaf control following clomazone (Command), Rohwer, 1999.	165
Table 37. Clomazone (Command) rates on clay soils (PRE), Rohwer, 1999.	168
Table 38. Clomazone (Command) rates on clay soils (POST), Rohwer, 1999.	169
Table 39. Rice tolerance to postemergence herbicides following clomazone (Command), Rohwer, 1999.	170
Table 40. Effects of clomazone (Command) under various planting methods, Rohwer, 1999.	173
Table 41. Propanil for postemergence weed control in combination with clomazone (Command) applied preemergence, Lonoke, 1999.	175
Table 42. Clomazone (Command) levee control, Lonoke, 1999.	179
Table 43. Clomazone (Command) no-till program, Lonoke, 1999.	183
Table 44. Clomazone (Command) application techniques, Lonoke, 1999.	186
Table 45. Evaluation of bispyribac-sodium (Regiment) in herbicide programs in rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	189
Table 46. Evaluation of bispyribac-sodium (Regiment) for rice weed control, Rohwer, 1999.	198
Table 47. Bispyribac-sodium (Regiment) in weed control programs, Lonoke, 1999.	202
Table 48. Propanil-resistant and -susceptible barnyardgrass control with bispyribac-sodium (Regiment), Stuttgart, 1999.	208
Table 49. Bispyribac-sodium (Regiment) rate and timing study, Lonoke, 1999.	210
Table 50. Crop tolerance and weed control efficacy from postemergence cyhalofop-butyl (Clincher) tankmix and sequential pre-flood treatments to dry-seeded rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	213
Table 51. Evaluation of cyhalofop-butyl (Clincher) tankmixes in rice, Rohwer, 1999.	220
Table 52. Cyhalofop-butyl (Clincher) tank-mix and sequential applications, Lonoke, 1999.	225
Table 53. Evaluation of adjuvants with quinclorac (Facet) early postemergence, Stuttgart, 1999.	230
Table 54. Quinclorac granular vs dry-flowable postemergence flood depth evaluation, Stuttgart, 1999.	233
Table 55. Evaluation of injury and efficacy of quinclorac (Facet) and clomazone (Command) PPI, PRE, and DPRE, Stuttgart, 1999.	235
Table 56. Quinclorac (Facet) flood depth study, Lonoke, 1999.	241
Table 57. Triclopyr (Grandstand) timing and tank mixes for broadleaf weed control, Rohwer, 1999.	243
Table 58. Triclopyr (Grandstand) tank mixes, Lonoke, 1999.	245
Table 59. Reduced rate study with triclopyr (Grandstand) and propanil (Stam M-4), Lonoke, 1999.	251
Table 60. Propanil (Stam) combinations for weed control, Lonoke, 1999.	254
Table 61. Propanil (Super Wham) plus Ricestar (fenoxaprop + safener), Lonoke, 1999.	257
Table 62. Propanil formulations and adjuvants, Lonoke, 1999.	259

Table 63. Fenoxaprop + safener (Ricestar) in sequences and mixtures for weed control in rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	262
Table 64. Evaluation of CGA 279233 in rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	265
Table 65. Midseason control of broadleaved weeds with carfentrazone, Stuttgart, 1999.	268
Table 66. Evaluation of carfentrazone (Aim) in dry-seeded rice, Lonoke, 1999.	270
Table 67. Halosulfuron (Permit) tank mixes, Rohwer, 1999.	276
Table 68. Bensulfuron (Londax) and halosulfuron (Permit) combinations, Lodge Corner, 1999.	280
Table 69. Pendimethalin (Prowl) DPRE for rice weed control, Rohwer, 1999.	283
Table 70. Rice herbicide standards, Lonoke, 1999.	286
Table 71. Preemergence combinations for weed control in rice, Lonoke, 1999.	290
Table 72. Different rates of preemergence herbicides in rice to be followed by wheat, Lonoke, 1999.	294
Table 73. Postemergence herbicides with varied preemergence herbicide options, Rohwer, 1999.	297
Table 74. Potential antagonism with grass and broadleaf herbicides, Lonoke, 1999.	299
Table 75. Comparison of imazethapyr (Pursuit) activity among several red rice accessions, IMI rice (AS 3510) and commercial rice, Stuttgart, 1999.	305
Table 76. Sensitivity of red rice accessions to molinate PPI in a greenhouse study, Stuttgart, 1999.	308
Table 77. Grass control with fenoxaprop + safener (Ricestar), clefoxydim (Aura), and cyhalofop-butyl (Clincher), Lonoke, 1999.	310
Table 78. Grass control with fenoxaprop + safener (Ricestar), clefoxydim (Aura), and cyhalofop-butyl (Clincher), Stuttgart, 1999.	315
Table 79. Program approach using grass herbicides, Lonoke, 1999.	320
Table 80. Post-flood grass control, Lonoke, 1999.	324
Table 81. Clefoxydim (Aura) for annual grass control, Rohwer, 1999.	326
Table 82. Early postemergence herbicides for control of grasses and broadleaves, Rohwer, 1999.	329
Table 83. Tankmixes for broadleaf weed control in rice, Rohwer, 1999.	332
Table 84. Rice flatsedge control, Lonoke, 1999.	334
Table 85. Preflood nutsedge control, Lodge Corner, 1999.	339

APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix Table 1. Common and trade names, formulation (pounds of active ingredient or acid equivalent per gallon), sponsoring companies, and chemical names of herbicides.	342
Appendix Table 2. Common, coded, and scientific names of plant species.	344
Appendix Table 3. Climatological data, Lonoke Extension Center, Lonoke, 1999.	345
Appendix Table 4. Climatological data, Pine Tree Branch Experiment Station, Colt, 1999.	346
Appendix Table 5. Climatological data, Southeast Branch Station, Rohwer, 1999.	347
Appendix Table 6. Climatological data, Rice Research and Extension Center, Stuttgart, 1999.	348